ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M08294 Date Received: 01/31/12 Date Extracted: 02/01/12 02/01/12 Date Analyzed: Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb) Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: ICPMS1

Alaskan Copper Works Metro KC Comp, PO 08294 201316-01 x10

201316-01 x10.014

Operator: AP

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 91

 \mathbf{Lower} Limit: 60

Upper Limit: 125

Concentration Analyte: ug/L (ppb) Chromium 680 Nickel 711 ${\bf Copper}$ 1,070 Zinc 63.2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank
Date Received: Not Applicable
Date Extracted: 02/01/12
Date Analyzed: 02/01/12
Matrix: Water
Units: ug/L (ppb)

Internal Standard:

Germanium

Data File: I2-81 mb.009
Instrument: ICPMS1
Operator: AP

Lower Upper % Recovery: Limit: Limit: 97 60 125

Client:

Project:

Lab ID:

Alaskan Copper Works

I2-81 mb

Metro KC Comp, PO 08294

Concentration
Analyte: ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1
Nickel <1
Copper <1
Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 02/03/12 Date Received: 01/31/12

Project: Metro KC Comp, PO 08294, F&BI 201316

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 201314-01 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	$\mathbf{Percent}$		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.20	102	102	67-132	0
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	102	103	73-119	1
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	3.28	101	101	50-144	0
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	121	97 b	93 b	46-148	4 b

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent											
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance								
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria								
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	97	66-135								
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	98	67-134								
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	101	66-134								
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	98	57-135								

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

FURNISHOUS POOL DOC	74. (200) 200-14882	Seattle, WA 98119-2029	3042 fath Assesses West	Priodinan & Bruja, Inc.								M0871	Sample ID		City, Shade, MF Seatons. Phonose #26-57-6033	Seemed Barpoort To Dedeus Comprany Acasecan Address 628 S.
Theologic By:	Bellinguished by	Macained by: <										(0)	Lab ID			The state of the s
		(STATE OF STREET								1/su/12	Date		Fax # 206-382-4309	(- 2
		de de		R								12'30	Time		309	
			(JECOD)	3								420	Sample Type			PROJECT NAMENO. METER K.C. Com
			1 Abmpo	PADAT NAME								/	# of			KC. COMP
			dr.			 							TPH-Dissel		2 4	a
			2		منسلون	 			. 22	- maran			TPH-Gasoline	$\ \ $		
			3		1		 				· · · · · ·		HTEX by 8021B VOCs by 8260		,	
			. • !*******				-	-			***		SVOCs by 8870		1	0
		7											HFS			90
		*	\$	Q									CR Cu NEZZ			%0% *0#
		BI	1	TWA AM										CELL SHOWER STREET, THE		1 > 1
		11.)	3						*****			<u> </u>		سمينسسا	
					†	†	-	1							3 3 3 3	200
D.Mariet		نددين		\sqcup	 -		ļ.,,			 -	 				Ba Ba	
		^	1/3/1/12	DATE				!							Dispess after 80 days Reburn sadaples Will east with instructions	C Standard (2 Years) O Standard (2 Years)
	200)(1:MP	TOTAL							And the second s		Notes		lays rections	050

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

February 3, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on January 31, 2012 from the Metro KC Comp, PO 08294, F&BI 201316 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0203R.DOC